

ERRATA

JAKUB OPRŠAL: MINIMAL KC SPACES

26th June 2009

Theorem 3.6. ([5], Theorem 1) *A topological space X is maximal compact if and only if it is KC compact.*

Proof. Necessity: Suppose that (X, τ) is compact space and K is such compact subset X , which is not τ -closed. Define a new topology τ' on X by sub-basis $\tau \cup \{X \setminus K\}$. Since K is τ' -closed and not τ -closed, τ' is strictly stronger than τ . The τ' -open sets of X are of form $(U \setminus K) \cup V$, where U, V are τ -open sets.

Next, we'll prove that (X, τ') is still compact. Let \mathcal{U} be a τ' -open cover. For each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ we have

$$U = (A(U) \setminus K) \cup B(U),$$

where $A(U), B(U)$ are τ -open. The system $\{B(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ covers K . Hence it has a finite subcover $\{B(U_1), \dots, B(U_n)\}$. Let $B = \bigcup \{B(U_1), \dots, B(U_n)\}$. Consider the system $\{A(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \{B(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \{B\}$, it is a τ -open cover of a compact space X , hence it has a finite subcover

$$\{A(V_1), \dots, A(V_m), B(U_{n+1}), \dots, B(U_k)\} \cup \{B\}.$$

Finally $\{U_1, \dots, U_k, V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ is a finite subcover of \mathcal{U} , which covers X .

Sufficiency: Let (X, τ) be a KC compact space and let $\tau' \supseteq \tau$ be a compact topology on X . Every τ' -closed set is τ' -compact, which means it is especially τ -compact. Since τ is KC , it is τ -closed. We get $\tau' = \tau$, and so (X, τ) is maximal compact. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let (X, τ) be a KC non-compact space. Then there is a discrete subset $D \subseteq X$, such that \overline{D} is not compact. Furthermore there is an ultrafilter \mathcal{F} in X , such that $D \in \mathcal{F}$ and for every $C \in \mathcal{F}$, $\overline{C}^{\tau'}$ is not compact.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i < \kappa\}$ be a strictly increasing open cover of X , where κ is an infinite regular cardinal. We'll construct sets $D_\lambda = \{x_i : i < \lambda\}$ by transfinite induction. First, let $D_0 = \{x_0\}$ for some $x_0 \in U_0$.

Let λ be an ordinal successor. If $\overline{D_{\lambda-1}}$ is compact, then there is α_λ such that $\overline{D_{\lambda-1}} \subseteq U_{\alpha_\lambda}$. Let $x_\lambda \in U_{\alpha_\lambda+1} \setminus U_{\alpha_\lambda}$ and $D_\lambda = D_{\lambda-1} \cup \{x_\lambda\}$. For limit ordinals λ , let $D_\lambda = \bigcup_{i < \lambda} D_i$.

This process stops when $\overline{D_\lambda}$ is not compact, which holds at least for $\lambda = \kappa$, because then the open cover \mathcal{U} witnesses that $\overline{D_\kappa}$ is not compact. It is easy to see that D_λ is discrete. The open set, which contains exactly one point x_{i+1} is $U_{\alpha_{i+1}} \setminus \overline{D_i}$.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - \TeX

Let's construct the ultrafilter. The family $\mathcal{F}' = \{C \subseteq D : \overline{D \setminus C} \text{ is compact}\}$ is closed under finite intersection, because if $E_0, E_1 \subseteq D$ are such that $\overline{E_0}$ and $\overline{E_1}$ are compact, then $\overline{E_0 \cup E_1} = \overline{E_0} \cup \overline{E_1}$ is compact. Let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter above this family. Then for any $C \in \mathcal{F}$, there is $C' \cap D \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\overline{C'}$ is not compact, otherwise \overline{D} would be compact. Finally this leads to that \overline{C} is not compact either. \square

5. MINIMAL KC SPACES ARE COMPACT

Definition 5.1. Let (X, τ) be a T_1 space, which is not compact, $x_0 \in X$, and \mathcal{F} an ultrafilter in X , such that x_0 is not a τ -limit of \mathcal{F} . We define a new topology $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ on X , such that U is a $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -open set if it is τ -open and satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) $x_0 \in U$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}$
- (ii) $x_0 \notin U$

It's easy to see that $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ is a T_1 -topology, which is strictly weaker than τ . Neighbourhoods of any point except for x_0 have not changed. The only new accumulation point of any set can be x_0 . An ultrafilter \mathcal{F} converges to x_0 in the new topology, as well as any ultrafilter containing each of the open set U , such that $x_0 \in U$ & $U \in \mathcal{F}$. The $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ topology may be also described by the system of its closed sets. A τ -closed set F is $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ -closed if and only if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and it contains also the point x_0 or $F \notin \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 5.2. Let (X, τ) be a KC -space and \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter, such that \overline{F}^τ is not compact for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\sigma = \tau(\mathcal{F})$. If $K \subseteq X$ is a τ -compact then it is σ -closed and topologies τ and σ agree on K .

Proof. Since (X, τ) is KC , we know that K is τ -closed. It suffices to prove that $K \notin \mathcal{F}$. Indeed if $K \in \mathcal{F}$, then \overline{K}^τ is not compact, but $\overline{K}^\tau = K$. This gives a contradiction with K is τ -compact.

Lemma 5.3. ([2], Corollary 2.2) If (X, τ) is a minimal KC space, then for each $x, y \in X$ and each open neighbourhood V of x , there is an open neighbourhood W of y such that $\overline{W \setminus V}$ is compact.

Lemma 5.4. Let (X, τ) be a minimal KC space, D a discrete subset with non-compact τ -closure, \mathcal{F} an ultrafilter, such that $D \in \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} contains only sets with non-compact τ -closures. Let $\sigma = \tau(\mathcal{F})$. Then every σ -compact subset is also τ -compact.

Proof. Suppose for contradiction, that M is a σ -compact set, which is not τ -compact. Then there is τ -open neighbourhood of x_0 such that $M \setminus U_0$ is not τ -compact either. Let $N = (M \setminus U_0) \cup \{x_0\}$.

Now, we'll prove that N is τ -closed. Let $x \in \overline{N}$. From lemma 5.3 let $V \ni x$ such that $K = \overline{V \setminus U_0}$ is τ -compact. Then topologies τ and σ agree on K . Since V is neighbourhood of x , we have $x \in \overline{V \cap N} \subseteq \overline{K \cap N} \cup \{x_0\}$. Note that $N \cap K$ is σ -compact because it is a closed subset of a compact space N . But σ and τ still agree on K , hence $N \cap K$ is τ -compact, and so τ -closed. This gives $x \in N$.

Finally we have two possibilities:

- (a) If $X \setminus N \in \mathcal{F}$ then topologies σ and τ agree on N , and hence it is τ -compact.

(b) On the other hand, if $N \in \mathcal{F}$ then let $D' = N \cap D$. From D' is discrete, we know that $\overline{D'} \setminus D'$ is closed. Let W be such an open set, that $\overline{D'} \cap W = D'$. Then $W \cup U_0$ is a σ -open neighbourhood of x_0 .

Now, suppose that $\overline{D'}$ is not τ -compact (otherwise N would be τ -compact). From lemma 3.11 we know that there is a set C without any complete τ -accumulation points. But C has a complete accumulation point in the topology σ , hence this point is x_0 . Then $|(W \cup U_0) \cap C| = |C|$, because $W \cup U_0$ is a σ -open neighbourhood of x_0 . Since $(W \cup U_0) \cap C \subseteq D'$, we can suppose without loss of generality that $C \subseteq D'$.

Let $C = D_0 \cup D_1$, where D_0, D_1 are disjoint and have the same cardinality as C . At most one of these sets can be in \mathcal{F} . Without loss of generality assume that $D_1 \notin \mathcal{F}$. From D is discrete, we get $\overline{D_1}^\tau \notin \mathcal{F}$, and so D_1 has no σ -accumulation points, that are not τ -accumulation points. Hence D_1 has no complete σ -accumulation point. This contradicts N is σ -compact. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Every minimal KC space is compact.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that (X, τ) is a minimal KC space, which is not compact. From lemma 3.10 let D be discrete subset of X with non-compact closure and \mathcal{F} an ultrafilter, such that $D \in \mathcal{F}$ and for every $C \in \mathcal{F}$, \overline{C} is not compact. It is easy to see that \mathcal{F} does not converge to any point in D , so let $x_0 \in D$ and $\sigma = \tau(\mathcal{F})$.

From lemma 5.2 we have that every τ -compact subset is also σ -closed. Finally lemma 5.4 says that there is no σ -compact subsets, which is not τ -compact. And together with the first fact this proves that σ is a KC topology. It contradicts τ is minimal KC . \square