

# Properties that are not characterizable by linear identities

Jakub Opršal

Charles University in Prague

Brno, Feb 6, 2016

# Identities of height 1 and height at most 1

Given a signature  $\Sigma$ , a term  $t$  over  $\Sigma$  is of **height 1** if it contains exactly one operation symbol from  $\Sigma$ . E.g.

$$t(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(x_1, x_0, x_0, x_2)$$

where  $f$  is a basic symbol. A projection is said to have height 0.

An identity is of **height 1** (**height at most 1**, resp.) if both its sides are of height 1 (height at most 1, resp.).

## Example

$$f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) \approx g(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}), \quad \text{or} \quad f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) \approx x_j.$$

Identities of height at most 1 are usually called **linear**.

# Linear Mal'cev conditions

A **linear Mal'cev condition** is a Mal'cev condition which only includes linear identities.

## Examples

Mal'cev term, Pixley term, Day terms, Gumm terms, near unanimity, cube term, Jónsson terms, etc.

## Not examples

group terms, lattice terms, semilattice term.

## Definition (Barto, Pinsker)

An algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be a **reflection** of  $\mathbf{B}$  defined by mappings  $h_1: B \rightarrow A$  and  $h_2: A \rightarrow B$ , if for every basic operation  $f$  we have

$$f_{\mathbf{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = h_1 f_{\mathbf{B}}(h_2(a_1), \dots, h_2(a_n)).$$

It is a retraction if in addition  $h_1 h_2 = 1_{\mathbf{A}}$

## Observation

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a retraction of  $\mathbf{B}$  then  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies all the linear identities that  $\mathbf{B}$  does.

For a clone  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$  is a retraction (reflection, resp.) of  $\mathcal{B}$  if  $\mathbf{A}$  is a retraction (reflection, resp.) of the algebra  $(B, (f)_{f \in \mathcal{B}})$ .

The class of all retractions (reflections, resp.) of algebras from  $\mathcal{K}$  is denoted  $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{K}$  ( $\mathbf{R}_{\text{ret}}\mathcal{K}$ ).

## Theorem (Barto, Pinsker, O)

*A class of algebras is definable by linear identities (identities of height 1, resp.) if and only if it is closed under  $\mathbf{R}_{\text{ret}}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  ( $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$ , resp.).*

An algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be

- ▶ **congruence regular** if every two congruences of  $\mathbf{A}$  that share a congruence class are identical;
- ▶ **congruence uniform** if every two classes of a single congruence of  $\mathbf{A}$  are of the same size;
- ▶ **congruence singular** if every two congruences  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and every element  $a \in A$  satisfy

$$|a/\alpha| \cdot |a/\beta| = |a/\alpha \wedge \beta| \cdot |a/\alpha \vee \beta|.$$

A variety is said to be congruence . . . , if all its algebras are.

A variety of groups is congruence regular, uniform and also singular.

There are several Mal'cev conditions that characterize **congruence regular** varieties (Csákány, Grätzer, Wille).

**Congruence uniformity** cannot be characterized by a Mal'cev condition (Taylor), but it is characterized by some identities.

**Congruence singularity** . . .

# Characterization by linear identities

## Theorem

*Congruence regularity, congruence singularity, and congruence singularity is not characterizable by linear identities. (Even for finitely generated varieties.)*

## Proof.

Goal: Construct  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  both finitely generated such that  $\mathcal{W}$  satisfies all linear identities that  $\mathcal{V}$  does,  $\mathcal{V}$  has the property, but  $\mathcal{W}$  does not.

Take  $\mathbf{A}$  the clone of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . And  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{HSP}(\mathbf{A})$ .

Define  $\mathbf{B}$  as a retraction of  $\mathbf{A}$ ...

*Look there →*

And finally, let  $\mathcal{W} = \mathbf{HSP}(\mathbf{B})$ . □

(Except congruence singularity.)



## Meet of Mal'cev conditions

Mal'cev conditions are naturally ordered by syntactical consequence. This is actually a lattice ordering!

**Meet of two Mal'cev conditions** is the strongest Mal'cev condition that which is weaker than both of the original ones.

## Meet of Mal'cev and Jónsson terms

There exists ternary terms  $q, d_1, \dots, d_n$ , and a binary term  $\cdot$  such that

$$q(x, y, y) \cdot w \approx x \cdot w, \text{ and } q(y, y, x) \cdot w \approx x \cdot w,$$

$$w \cdot d_0(x, y, z) \approx w \cdot x, \text{ and } w \cdot d_n(x, y, z) \approx w \cdot x,$$

$$w \cdot d_i(x, y, x) \approx w \cdot x \text{ for every } i,$$

$$w \cdot d_i(x, x, y) \approx w \cdot d_{i+1}(x, x, y) \text{ for odd } i,$$

$$w \cdot d_i(x, y, y) \approx w \cdot d_{i+1}(x, y, y) \text{ for even } i,$$

$$xy \cdot zw \approx xw$$

$$f(x_0x_1, y_0y_1, z_0z_1) \approx f(x_0, y_0, z_0) \cdot f(x_1, y_1, z_1) \text{ for } f \in \{q, d_1, \dots, d_n\}.$$

$$w \cdot q(x, y, z) \approx w \cdot x$$

$$f(x, y, z) \cdot w \approx x \text{ for } f \in \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}.$$

### Observation

A clone satisfies this Mal'cev condition if and only if it is a product of a clone with Mal'cev operation and a clone with Jónsson terms.

A **product of clones**  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the clone  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $C = A \times B$ , and  $\mathcal{C}^{[n]} = \{f \times g : f \in \mathcal{A}^{[n]}, g \in \mathcal{B}^{[n]}\}$ .

# Meet of Mal'cev and Jónsson terms is not linear

## Theorem

*The meet of Mal'cev and Jónsson terms is not characterizable by linear identities.*

## Proof.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the clone on  $\{0, 1\}$  generated by the minority operation, and  $\mathcal{B}$  be the clone on  $\{0, 1\}$  generated by the majority operation.

And define  $\mathcal{C}$  as a retraction of  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \dots$

*Look there →*

$\mathcal{C}$  cannot be written non-trivially as a product, and it has neither Mal'cev, nor Jónsson operations. □

## Some open problems. . .

### Problem

Find a satisfactory description of linear meet.

### Problem

Are Day terms the linear meet of Mal'cev and Jónsson terms?

**Thank you for your attention!**