Our goal for this lecture is to present a (very brief) outline of the proof of the structure theorem. First, we need to formulate its local version with respect to a given tangle.

Let $G$ be a graph and let $\Omega$ be a cyclic sequence of vertices of $G$. Then $(G, \Omega)$ is a society. We view a graph itself as a society with empty sequence. A cross in a society consists of two disjoint paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ in $G$ such that the labels of the ends $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ of $P_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and $y_{2}$ of $P_{2}$ can be chosen so that they appear in $\Omega$ in order $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$. A society is a cell if $|\Omega| \leq 3$. A transaction of order $p$ in the society $(G, \Omega)$ is a set of $p$ pairwise vertex-disjoint paths with ends in $\Omega$. A society is a $p$-vortex if it contains no transaction of order greater than $p$; from the homework assignment, we have the following description of $p$-vortices (recall the adhesion of a tree decomposition ( $T, \beta$ ) is the maximum of $|\beta(x) \cap \beta(y)|$ over distinct $x, y \in V(T))$.

Lemma 1. If $(G, \Omega)$ is a p-vortex and $\Omega=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)$, then $G$ has a path decomposition $(P, \beta)$ over the path $P=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{m}$ of adhesion at most $p$ such that $v_{i} \in \beta\left(v_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

A society $\left(G_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)$ is a subsociety of $(G, \Omega)$ if $G_{1}$ is a subgraph of $G$, every edge of $G_{1}$ incident with $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash \Omega_{1}$ belongs to $G_{1}$, and $G_{1} \cap \Omega \subseteq$ $\Omega_{1}$. Two subsocieties $\left(G_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)$ are disjoint if $G_{1} \cap G_{2}=\Omega_{1} \cap$ $\Omega_{2}$. A segregation of $(G, \Omega)$ is a set $\left\{\left(G_{i}, \Omega_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ of its disjoint subsocieties such that $G=G_{1} \cup \ldots \cup G_{n}$. The segregation is of type ( $k, p$ ) if all but at most $k$ elements are cells and the remaining at most $k$ elements are $p$-vortices.

If $\Omega=\emptyset$, an arrangement of the segregation in a surface $\Sigma$ is a function $\alpha$ satisfying the following conditions: $\alpha\left(G_{i}, \Omega_{i}\right)$ is a disk $\Delta_{i} \subseteq \Sigma$ and for each $v \in \Omega_{i}, \alpha(v)$ is a distinct point in $\Sigma$ contained in the boundary of $\Delta_{i}$, such that

- for each $i$, the order of the points $\alpha(v)$ for $v \in \Omega_{i}$ in the boundary of $\Delta_{i}$ matches the order of the vertices $v$ in $\Omega_{i}$, and
- for distinct $i$ and $j$, the disks $\Delta_{i}$ and $\Delta_{j}$ intersect exactly in the points $\alpha(v)$ for $v \in \Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2}$.

If $\Omega$ is not emptyset, we additionally require $\Sigma$ has exactly one hole and

- for each $v \in \Omega$, the point $\alpha(v)$ is contained in the boundary of $\Sigma$ and their order in the boundary matches the order of the vertices $v$ in $\Omega$.

A society is rural if it has a segregation into cells with an arrangement in a disk. In the homework assignment, we have seen the following result.

Lemma 2. A society $(G, \Omega)$ is rural if and only if it does not contain a cross.
For a tangle $\mathcal{T}$ in $G$ of order $\theta$, we say that a segregation $\left\{\left(G_{i}, \Omega_{i}\right): i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, n\}$ of $G$ is $\mathcal{T}$-central if there is no $(A, B) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $B \subseteq G_{i}$. For $Z \subseteq V(G)$ with $|Z|<\theta$, recall that we can naturally define a tangle $\mathcal{T}-Z$ in $G-Z$ of order $\theta-|Z|$ as the set of all separations $\{(A-Z, B-Z):(A, B) \in \mathcal{T}, Z \subseteq V(A \cap B)\}$.

Theorem 3 (The Structure Theorem, local version). For every graph F, there exist integers $\alpha<\theta, k$, and $p$ such that the following holds. For every graph $G$ and a tangle $\mathcal{T}$ in $G$ of order at least $\theta$, if $F \npreceq G$, then there exists $A \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most $\alpha$, a surface $\Sigma$ in which $F$ cannot be drawn, and $a(\mathcal{T}-A)$-central segregation of $G-A$ of type $(k, p)$ with an arrangement in $\Sigma$.

## 1 Global structure theorem from the local one

A graph $G$ is $(b, k, \rho)$-near-embedded in a surface $\Sigma$ if for some subset $B \subseteq$ $V(G)$, the graph $G-B$ has a drawing in $\Sigma$ with at most $k$ vortices of width at most $\rho$. The final global form of the structure theorem we aim for is as follows.

Theorem 4 (The Structure Theorem, local version). For every graph F, there exist integers $b, k$, and $\rho$ such that the following holds. For every graph $G$, if $F \npreceq G$, then $G$ has a tree decompositions whose torsos can be $(b, k, \rho)$ -near-embedded in surfaces in which $F$ cannot be drawn. Equivalently, $G$ can be obtained from graphs $(b, k, \rho)$-near-embedded in surfaces in which $F$ cannot be drawn by clique-sums.

In the first lecture, we have seen Theorem 4 follows from the following lemma, which as we now show is a consequence of Theorem 3. Recall a set $\mathcal{L}$ of separations in a graph $G$ is a location if for all distinct separations $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $A_{1} \subseteq B_{2}$. The center of the location is the graph $C$ obtained from $\bigcap_{(A, B) \in \mathcal{L}} B$ by adding all edges of cliques with vertex sets $V(A \cap B)$ for $(A, B) \in \mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 5. For every graph $F$, there exist integers $\alpha<\theta$, $k$, and $\rho$ such that the following holds. For every graph $G$ and a tangle $\mathcal{T}$ in $G$ of order at least $\theta$, if $F \npreceq G$, then there exists a location $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ whose center is (a,k, $\rho$ )-near-embedded in a surface in which $F$ cannot be drawn.

Proof. Let $\alpha<\theta, k, p, A, \Sigma$, and a $(\mathcal{T}-A)$-central segregation $S$ of $G-A$ of type $(k, p)$ with an arrangement in $\Sigma$ be obtained using Theorem 3. Let $\rho=2 p+1$. The location $\mathcal{L}$ is obtained as follows:

- For each cell $(C, \Omega) \in S$, we include the separation $\left(A_{C}, B_{C}\right)$, where $A_{C}=G[V(C) \cup A]$ and $V\left(A_{C} \cap B_{C}\right)=\Omega \cup A$.
- For each $p$-vortex $(C, \Omega) \in S$, let $(P, \beta)$ be the path decomposition from Lemma 1, where $P=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{m}$. In $\mathcal{L}$, we include all separations $\left(A_{i}, B_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$, where $A_{i}=G\left[\beta\left(v_{i}\right) \cup A\right]$ and $V\left(A_{i} \cap B_{i}\right)=$ $A \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup X_{i}$, with $X_{i}=\left(\beta\left(v_{i}\right) \cap\left(\beta\left(v_{i-1}\right) \cup \beta\left(v_{i+1}\right)\right)\right)$.

The $(\alpha, k, \rho)$-near-embedding of the center of $\mathcal{L}$ is obtained by making $A$ into apex vertices, replacing each cell in the arrangement by a clique of size at most three, and replacing each $p$-vortex by a vortex of width at most $\rho$, whose bags are the sets $X_{i}$.

## 2 Growing animals

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tangle in a graph $G$. For a surface $\Sigma, H \subseteq G$, and a tangle $\mathcal{T}_{H}$ of order $\gamma$ in $H$, we say $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is a $\Sigma$-span of order $\gamma$ in $(G, \mathcal{T})$ if $H$ is a subdivision of a 3 -connected graph, $H$ has a 2 -cell drawing in $\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{T}_{H}$ is respectful for this drawing, and $\mathcal{T}$ is conformal with $\mathcal{T}_{H}$ (i.e., the tangle induced in $G$ by $\mathcal{T}_{H}$ is a subset of $\left.\mathcal{T}\right)$. The results from the 6 th lecture imply the following.

Lemma 6. For every graph $F$ and a surface $\Sigma$ in which $F$ can be drawn, there exists $\gamma$ such that the following claim holds. If $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-span of order $\gamma$, then $F \preceq G$.

For a span $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$, let $d$ denote the distance function in $H$ derived from $\mathcal{T}_{H}$. An $H$-path is a path in $G$ intersecting $H$ exactly in its endpoints. A $(\gamma, s)$-horn over the span is a vertex $v \in V(G) \backslash V(H)$ for which there exist $s$ paths from $v$ to vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s} \in V(H)$, disjoint except for their common start in $v$ and disjoint from $H$ except for their ends, where $d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\gamma$ for all $i \neq j$. For $A \subseteq V(G) \backslash V(H)$, a $\gamma$-hair avoiding $A$ is a vertex $z \in V(H)$ such that there exists an $H$-path in $G-A$ to a vertex $y \in V(H)$ with $d(z, y)=\gamma$.

A $\Sigma$-animal with $a$ horns and $b$ hairs of strength $(\gamma, s)$ is a quadruple $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}, A, B\right)$, where

- $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is a $\Sigma$-span of order $\gamma$,
- $A$ is a set of $a(\gamma, s)$-horns over the span, and
- $B$ is a set of $b \gamma$-hairs avoiding $A$ such that $d(x, y)=\sigma$ for distinct $x, y \in B$.

The argument used to prove Lemma 8 in the previous lecture notes gives the following.

Lemma 7. For any surface $\Sigma$ and integer $m$, there exist $\gamma$ and $s$ such that the following claim holds. If $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal with $\binom{m}{2}$ horns of strength $(\gamma, s)$, then $K_{m} \preceq G$.

Furthermore, analogously to Lemma 9, we can show that many hairs can be combined into a horn.

Lemma 8. For any surface $\Sigma$ and integers $m, s, \gamma, a$, there exist $\gamma^{\prime}$ and $b$ such that the following claim holds. If $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal with $a$ horns and $b$ hairs of strength $\left(\gamma^{\prime}, s+1\right)$, then either $K_{m} \preceq G$, or $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal with $a+1$ horns of strength $(\gamma, s)$.

Next, let us argue that $H$-paths between distant vertices of $H$ either can be used to improve the animal, or can be all disrupted by a small number of vertices. We say a $\Sigma$-span $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is $\delta$-flat if for every $H$-path, its ends $u$ and $v$ satisfy $d(u, v)<\delta$. A $\delta$-zone around a vertex $v \in V(H)$ is an open disk $\Lambda \subset \Sigma$ bounded by a cycle $C$ in $H$ such that all atoms in the closure of $\Lambda$ are at distance at most $\delta$ from $v$ and conversely, all atoms at distance at most $\delta-2$ belong to $\Lambda$. Clearing the zone means deleting vertices and edges of $H$ drawn in $\Lambda$; note that the resulting graph $H^{\prime}$ contains a tangle $\mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}$ of order $\theta-O(\delta)$ conformal with $\mathcal{T}_{H}$ such that the distances according to $d_{\mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}}$ are by at most $O(\delta)$ smaller than those according to $d_{\mathcal{T}_{H}}$.

Lemma 9. For any surface $\Sigma$ and integers $a, b, \theta_{1}$, there exist $\delta, \alpha$ and $\theta_{0}$ such that the following claim holds for every integer s. If $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal $\mathcal{A}=(H, \mathcal{T}, A, B)$ with a horns and $b$ hairs of strength $(\theta, s)$ and $\theta \geq \theta_{0}$, then at least one of the following holds:

1. $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a ( $\Sigma+$ handle $)$ - or $(\Sigma+$ crosshandle $)$-span of order $\theta_{1}$, or
2. $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal with a horns and $b+1$ hairs of strength $\left(\theta_{1}, s\right)$, or
3. $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal $\left(H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}, A, B^{\prime}\right)$ with a horns and $b$ hairs of strength $(\theta-\delta, s)$ and a set $Z \subseteq V(G) \backslash V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ of size at most $\alpha$ such that $A \subseteq Z$ and $\left(H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}\right)$ is $\delta$-flat in $G-Z$.

Proof idea. For $y \in B$, choose a $\delta^{\prime}$-zone $\Lambda_{y}$ around $y$ bounded by a cycle $C_{y}$ for $\delta^{\prime}=O(\delta / b)$, and let $\left(H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}\right.$ be the $\Sigma$-span of order $\theta-\delta$ obtained by clearing the zones. For each $y \in B$, choose a vertex $y^{\prime}$ of $C_{y}$ joined to $y$ by a path in $\Lambda_{y}$, and let $B^{\prime}=\left\{y^{\prime}: y \in B\right\}$. Then $\left(H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}, A, B^{\prime}\right)$ is an animal with $a$ horns and $b$ hairs of strength $(\theta-\delta, s)$. Moreover, $\Lambda_{y}$ can be chosen so that $C_{y}$ contains a set $X_{y}$ that is free in $\mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}$ and satisfies the following technical connectivity condition $(\star)$ : Suppose $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}$ is a sequence of vertices of $H \cap \Lambda_{y}$ with $d\left(y, v_{1}\right) \geq \delta-\theta_{1}$ and $d\left(v_{j-1}, v_{j}\right)<\theta_{1}$ for $j=2, \ldots, t$. If $d\left(y, v_{m}\right)<\theta_{1}$, then $H \cap\left(\Lambda_{y} \cup X_{y}\right)$ contains $b^{2} \theta_{1}^{2}$ pairwise vertex-disjoint paths from $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ to $X_{y}$. Let us remark that to ensure this condition holds, it is in particular necessary that $\delta \gg \theta_{1}$.

If for some distinct $y_{1}, y_{2} \in B$ there are at least $\theta_{1}^{2}$ disjoint $H^{\prime}$-paths from $X_{y_{1}}$ to $X_{y_{2}}$, then we can select $\theta_{1}$ of them such that the order of their ends in $C_{y_{1}}$ and $C_{y_{2}}$ is either the same or opposite. Adding these paths to $H^{\prime}$, we obtain a ( $\Sigma+$ handle)- or ( $\Sigma+$ crosshandle)-span of order $\theta_{1}$ in $G$. Hence, we can assume this is not the case, and thus by Menger's theorem, $G$ contains a set $Z_{0}$ of size less than $b^{2} \theta_{1}^{2}$ intersecting all paths with ends in $X_{y_{1}}$ and $X_{y_{2}}$ for distinct $y_{1}, y_{2} \in B$.

If $Z=\left(Z_{0} \backslash V\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right) \cup A$ intersects all $H^{\prime}$-path with ends $u, v \in V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying $d_{\mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}}(u, v) \geq \delta$, then the last outcome holds. Hence, suppose $Q$ is such a path avoiding $Z$. Let $u^{\prime}$ be the first vertex of $Q$ after $u$ belonging to $H$. If $d\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \geq \theta_{1}$, we can add $u$ as a hair to $\mathcal{A}$ and the second outcome holds. Hence, $d\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)<\theta_{1}$, and defining $v^{\prime}$ symmetrically, we have $d\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)<\theta_{1}$. Consequently, $d\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)>\delta-2 \theta_{1}>2 \delta^{\prime}$. Therefore, $u^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{y_{1}}$ and $v^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{y_{2}}$ for distinct $y_{1}, y_{2} \in B$. By the choice of $Z_{0}$, it cannot be the case that for both $i \in\{1,2\}, H \cap\left(\Lambda_{y_{i}} \cup X_{y_{i}}\right)$ contains a path from $X_{y_{i}}$ to $V(Q)$ disjoint from $Z_{0}$; we can assume no such path exists for $i=1$.

Consider the segment of $Q$ starting with $u^{\prime}$ which intersects $H$ only in $H \cap \Lambda_{y_{1}}$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ be these intersections in order they appear on $Q$, with $m$ chosen maximum so that $d\left(v_{j-1}, v_{j}\right)<\theta_{1}$ for $j=2, \ldots, t$. Since $H \cap\left(\Lambda_{y_{1}} \cup X_{y_{1}}\right)$ does not contain a path from $X_{y_{1}}$ to $V(Q)$ disjoint from $Z_{0}$, by $(\star)$ we have $d\left(y_{1}, v_{m}\right)>\theta_{1}$. Let $w$ be the next intersection of $Q$ with $H$ after $v_{m}$. Then $d\left(v_{m}, w\right) \geq \theta_{1}$, by the maximality of $m$ if $w \in \Lambda_{y_{1}}$ and since $d\left(y_{1}, y_{3}\right) \geq \theta$ and $d\left(w_{m}, y_{1}\right) \leq \delta$ if $w \in \Lambda_{y_{3}}$ for some $y_{3} \neq y_{1}$. Then we can add $v_{m}$ as a new hair to $\mathcal{A}$, and the second outcome holds.

Let us now refine the last outcome. Suppose $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is a $\Sigma$-span. Another $\Sigma$-span $\left(H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}\right)$ is a $\lambda$-rearrangement of $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ around a vertex $v \in V(H)$ if every vertex or edge of $H$ at distance more than $\lambda$ from $v$ belongs to $H^{\prime}$ and the distances according to $d_{\mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}}$ are by at most $4 \lambda+2$ smaller than those according to $d_{\mathcal{T}_{H}}$. We say that $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is $(\lambda, \delta)$-flat if all its $\lambda$-rearrangements
are $\delta$-flat.
Lemma 10. For any surface $\Sigma$ and integers $a, s, b, \theta_{1}$, there exist $\delta$ and $\alpha$ such that for all $\lambda$ and $\theta_{2}$ there exists $\theta$ for which the following claim holds. If $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal $\mathcal{A}=(H, \mathcal{T}, A, B)$ with a horns and $b$ hairs of strength $(\theta, s+\alpha)$, then at least one of the following holds:

1. $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $(\Sigma+$ handle $)$ - or $(\Sigma+$ crosshandle $)$-span of order $\theta_{1}$, or
2. $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal with a horns and $b+1$ hairs of strength $\left(\theta_{1}, s\right)$, or
3. there is a set $Z \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most $\alpha$ such that $(G-Z, \mathcal{T}-Z)$ contains a $(\lambda, \delta)$-flat $\Sigma$-span of order $\theta_{2}$.

Proof idea. Let $\delta, \alpha$, and $\theta_{0}$ be as in Lemma 9, with $\delta>\theta_{1}$. Let $\theta=$ $\max \left(\theta_{0}, \theta_{2}+\delta, 2 \theta_{1}+\delta+4 \lambda+2\right)$. Apply Lemma 9 to $\mathcal{A}$; the first two outcomes correspond to the outcomes of this lemma, and thus we can assume $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal $\left(H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}, A, B^{\prime}\right)$ with $a$ horns and $b$ hairs of strength $(\theta-\delta, s+\alpha)$ and a set $Z \subseteq V(G) \backslash V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ of size at most $\alpha$ such that $A \subseteq Z$ and $\left(H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}\right)$ is $\delta$-flat in $G-Z$. If the $\Sigma$-span $\left(H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}\right)$ is $(\lambda, \delta)$-flat in $G-Z$, then the third outcome holds.

Otherwise, there exists a $\lambda$-rearrangement $\left(H^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ of $\left(H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}\right)$ around a vertex $w$ and an $H^{\prime \prime}$-path $Q$ in $G-Z$ whose ends $u$ and $v$ satisfy $d_{\mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime \prime}}}(u, v) \geq$ $\delta$. For a vertex $x \in A$, consider $s+1$ of the paths showing that $x$ is a horn which are disjoint from $Z \backslash\{x\}$. At most one of them intersects $H^{\prime \prime}-H^{\prime}$, as otherwise ( $H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}$ ) would not be $\delta$-flat in $G-Z$. Consequently, each element of $A$ is a $\left(\theta_{1}, s\right)$-horn over $\left(H^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. Furthermore, at most one of the hairs in $B^{\prime}$ is at distance less than $\theta_{1}+\lambda$ from $w$; let $y$ be this hair, or an arbitrary hair in $B^{\prime}$ if no hair is close to $w$. Then $\left(H^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime \prime}}, A,\left(B^{\prime} \backslash\{y\}\right) \cup\{u, v\}\right)$ is a $\Sigma$-animal with $a$ horns and $b+1$ hairs of strength $\left(\theta_{1}, s\right)$, and the second outcome of the lemma holds.

For a span $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$, a face of $H$ is an eye if there exist vertices $x_{1}, \ldots$, $x_{4}$ appearing in order in the cycle bounding $f$ such that there exist disjoint $H$-paths from $x_{1}$ to $x_{3}$ and from $x_{2}$ to $x_{4}$ and the set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ is free in $\mathcal{T}_{H}$. The homework assignment for Lesson 6 implies the following result (the assumption that the span is $(\beta-10)$-flat is used to show that the crossing paths for different eyes are pairwise disjoint).

Lemma 11. For any surface $\Sigma$ and integer $m$, if $\beta \gg m, \Sigma$ and $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $(\beta-10)$-flat $\Sigma$-span with $m^{4}$ eyes pairwise at distance at least $\beta$ apart, then $K_{m} \preceq \mathcal{T}$.

We now can improve or embed a flat span.
Lemma 12. For any surface $\Sigma$ and integers $m$, $\delta$, and $\theta_{1}$, there exist $\lambda, \theta_{2}$, and $p$ such that the following claim holds. If $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $(\lambda, \delta)$-flat $\Sigma$-span of order at least $\theta_{2}$ and $K_{m} \npreceq G$, then either

1. $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $(\Sigma+$ crosscap $)$-span of order $\theta_{1}$, or
2. $G$ has a $\mathcal{T}$-central segregation of type $\left(m^{4}, p\right)$ with an arrangement in $\Sigma$.

Proof idea. Choose $\gamma_{-1} \gg \lambda_{0} \gg \gamma_{0} \gg \lambda_{1} \gg \gamma_{1} \gg \ldots \gg \lambda m^{4} \gg \gamma_{m^{4}}$, where $\gamma_{m^{4}} \geq \max (\delta, \beta)+10$ for $\beta$ from Lemma 11. Set $\theta_{2}=\gamma_{-1}$ and $p \gg \lambda=\lambda_{0}$.

Let $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, m^{4}\right\}$ be maximum such that $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\left(\lambda_{k}, \delta\right)$ flat $\Sigma$-span $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ of order at least $\gamma_{k-1}$ with $k$ eyes $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ pairwise at distance at least $\gamma_{k}$ apart. Note that $k<m^{4}$, as otherwise $K_{m} \preceq G$ by Lemma 11.

Consider a vertex $v \in V(H)$ such that an eye can be created by cleaning a $4 \delta$-zone around $v$. The maximality of $k$ implies that the distance between $v$ and some $f_{i}$ is less than $\gamma_{k+1}+16 \delta+2 \ll \lambda_{k+1}$. For $i=1, \ldots, k$, let $\Lambda_{i}$ be the corresponding zone around $f_{i}$. The "local planarity" together with rigidness of $H$ implies that that everything outside of these zones can be broken up into cells with an arrangement in $\Sigma$. We now apply the results from the homework assignment to each zone $\Lambda_{i}$ and all $H$-bridges of $G$ that attach to it. If it does not contain a large crooked transaction, then it can be decomposed into a rural neighborhood and a $p$-vortex, and if this happens for all $i$, we obtain a desired $\mathcal{T}$-central segregation of type ( $m^{4}, p$ ) with an arrangement in $\Sigma$.

Hence, suppose this does not happen for some $i$. The large crooked transaction contains one of crosscap, jump, or double-cross type. Crosscap-type crooked transaction can be used to rearrange $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ into a ( $\Sigma+$ crosscap $)$ span of order $\theta_{1}$. Jump one would contradict the assumption that $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is $\left(\lambda_{k}, \delta\right)$-flat. The double-cross type can be used to rearrange and obtain one more distant eye, contradicting the maximality of $k$.

Let us now combine all these results.
Corollary 13. For any surface $\Sigma$ and integers $m, \theta_{1}, s_{1}, a, b$, there exists $\theta, s, p, \alpha$ such that the following holds. If $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal with $a$ horns and $b$ hairs of strength $(\theta, s)$ and $K_{m} \npreceq G$, then

- $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $(\Sigma+$ handle $)$-, $(\Sigma+$ crosshandle $)$-, or $(\Sigma+$ crosscap $)$ span of order $\theta_{1}$, or
- $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal with a horns and $b+1$ hairs of strength $\left(\theta_{1}, s_{1}\right)$, or
- there exists a set $Z \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most $\alpha$ such that $G-Z$ has a $(\mathcal{T}-Z)$-central segregation of type $\left(m^{4}, p\right)$ with an arranglement in $\Sigma$.

Proof. Let $\delta$ and $\alpha$ be as in Lemma 10 for the given parameters (with $s=s_{1}$ ). Let $\lambda, \theta_{2}$, and $p$ be as in Lemma 12 for the given parameters. Let $\theta$ be obtained by Lemma 10 for this $\lambda$ and $\theta_{2}$, and let and $s=s_{1}+\alpha$.

Applying Lemma 10 to $(G, \mathcal{T})$, the first outcomes correspond to the first two outcomes of this lemma. Hence, we can assume the third outcome, giving us a $(\lambda, \delta)$-level $\Sigma$-span in $(G-Z, \mathcal{T}-Z)$ for a set $Z$ of size at most $\alpha$. We now apply Lemma 12, the first outcome corresponds to the first outcome of this lemma, while the second one corresponds to the third outcome of this lemma.

## 3 Proof of the structure theorem

Let $m=|V(F)|$. We define $\theta=\theta(\Sigma, a, b), s=s(\Sigma, a, b), p=p(\Sigma, a, b)$ and $\alpha=\alpha(\Sigma, a, b)$ inductively so that the following conditions hold.

- If $F$ can be drawn in $\Sigma$, then $\theta$ is equal to $\gamma$ from Lemma 6 and $s=p=\alpha=0$. Suppose from now on that $F$ cannot be drawn in $\Sigma$.
- If $a \geq\binom{ m}{2}$, then let $\theta$ and $s$ be chosen according to Lemma 7, setting $\theta=\gamma$, and let $p=\alpha=0$. Suppose from now on that $a<\binom{m}{2}$.
- Let $b_{\text {max }}(\Sigma, a)$ be equal to $b$ from Lemma 8 for the given $\Sigma, m, s(\Sigma, a+$ $1,0), \theta(\Sigma, a+1,0)$, and $a$. If $b \geq b_{\max }(\Sigma, a)$, then let $s=s(\Sigma, a+1,0)+1$, $p=\alpha=0$, and let $\theta$ be chosen as $\gamma^{\prime}$ from Lemma 8. From now on, suppose that $b<b_{\max }(\Sigma, a)$.
- Let $\theta$ and $s$ be chosen according to Corollary 13 , with $\theta_{1}$ and $s_{1}$ maximum of the following:
- $\theta\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, 0,0\right)$ and $s\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, 0,0\right)$ for $\Sigma^{\prime} \in\{\Sigma+$ handle, $\Sigma+$ crosshandle, $\Sigma+$ crosscap $\}$.
$-\theta(\Sigma, a, b+1)$ and $s(\Sigma, a, b+1)$.
We choose $p$ and $\alpha$ as the maximum of values of $p$ and $\alpha$ among these cases and those obtained from Corollary 13 .

A straightforward inductive argument gives the following.

Corollary 14. For any graph $F$, a surface $\Sigma$, and integers a and b, if $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-animal with a horns and $b$ hairs of strength $(\theta(\Sigma, a, b), s(\Sigma, a, b))$ and $F \npreceq G$, then there exists a set $Z \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most $\alpha(\Sigma, a, b)$ such that $G-Z$ has a $\mathcal{T}$-central segregation of type $\left(m^{4}, p(\Sigma, a, b)\right)$ with an arrangement in some surface in which $F$ cannot be drawn.

Theorem 3 thus follows with $\alpha=\alpha($ sphere $, 0,0), k=|V(F)|^{4}, p=$ $p$ (sphere, 0,0 ), and $\theta$ large enough that (by the grid theorem), any graph with a tangle of order at least $\theta$ contains a wall of order $\theta$ (sphere, 0,0 ) - such a wall forms a sphere-animal with no horns and hairs.

