## Near-embeddability

## Definition

A graph $G$ is a-near-embeddable in a surface $\Sigma$ if for some graph $G_{0}$ drawn in $\Sigma, G$ is obtained from an outgrowth of $G_{0}$ by at most $a$ vortices of depth $a$ by adding at most $a$ apices.


## Theorem (Global structure theorem)

For every graph $F$, there exists a such that the following holds. If $F \npreceq G$, then $G$ has a tree decomposition such that each torso either

- has at most a vertices, or
- is a-near-embeddable in some surface $\Sigma$ in which $\underline{F}$ cannot be drawn.


## Definition

A location in $G$ is a set of separations $\mathcal{L}$ such that for distinct $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $A_{1} \subseteq B_{2}$.

The center of the location is the graph $C$ obtained from
$\bigcap_{(A, B) \in \mathcal{L}} B$ by adding all edges of cliques with vertex sets $V(A \cap B)$ for $(A, B) \in \mathcal{L}$.


## Theorem (Local structure theorem, version 1)

For every graph $F$, there exists a such that the following holds. If $F \npreceq G$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is a tangle in $G$ of order at least a, then there exists a location $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ whose center is a-near-embeddable in some surface $\Sigma$ in which $F$ cannot be drawn.


- Society: a graph $G$ with a cyclic sequence $\Omega$ of interface vertices.
- Transaction of order $p: p$ vertex-disjoint paths between consecutive subsequences of $\Omega$.
- $p$-vortex: Society without any transaction of order $p$.



## Lemma (Vortex decomposition)

If $(G, \Omega)$ is a $p$-vortex and $\Omega=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)$, then $G$ has a path decomposition $(P, \beta)$ over the path $P=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{m}$ of adhesion at most $p$ such that $v_{i} \in \beta\left(v_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.


- Subsociety $(S, \Omega)$ of a graph $G$ : $S$ induced subgraph of $G$, only vertices of $\Omega$ incident with edges of $E(G) \backslash E(S)$.
- Segregation of $G$ : Subsocieties $\left\{\left(S_{i}, \Omega_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ s.t.
- $G=S_{1} \cup \ldots \cup S_{n}$,
- $S_{i} \cap S_{j}=\Omega_{i} \cap \Omega_{j}$ for $i \neq j$.
- Arrangement in $\Sigma$
- Societies $\mapsto$ disks in $\Sigma$ with disjoint interiors.
- Interface vertices $\mapsto$ points in the disk boundary in a matching order.


Cell: society with at most three interface vertices. Segregation is of type $(k, p)$ if

- all but at most $k$ elements are cells,
- the remaining elements are $p$-vortices.


For a tangle $\mathcal{T}$, a segregation $\mathcal{S}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-central if there is no $(A, B) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $(S, \Omega) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $B \subseteq S$.

## Theorem (Local structure theorem, version 2)

For every graph $F$, there exist integers $\alpha<\theta, k$, and $p$ such that the following holds. If $F \npreceq G$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is a tangle in $G$ of order at least $\theta$, then there exists $A \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most $\alpha$, a surface $\Sigma$ in which $F$ cannot be drawn, and a $(\mathcal{T}-A)$-central segregation of $G-A$ of type $(k, p)$ with an arrangement in $\Sigma$.

## Lemma

Version 2 implies version 1.

## Proof.

To obtain the location center,

- replace each cell by a clique drawn in $\Sigma$,
- apply Vortex decomposition lemma to $p$-vortices, bags of form $\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup\left(\beta\left(v_{i}\right) \cap \beta\left(v_{i-1}\right)\right) \cup\left(\beta\left(v_{i}\right) \cap \beta\left(v_{i+1}\right)\right)$.
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- $\Sigma$-span in $(G, \mathcal{T})$ of order $\theta$ is $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$, where
- $H \subseteq G$ is a subdivision of a 3-connected graph,
- $H$ is drawn in $\Sigma$ and $\mathcal{T}_{H}$ is a respectful tangle of order $\theta$ conformal with $\mathcal{T}$.
- H-path: path in $G$ intersecting $H$ exactly in its ends. $u \in V(H)$ is an $A$-avoiding hair if there exists an $H$-path in $G-A$ whose other end $u^{\prime}$ satisfies $d_{\mathcal{T}_{H}}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=\theta$.
- s-horn: a vertex $v \in V(G) \backslash V(H)$, disjoint paths from $v$ to $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s} \in V(H), d_{\mathcal{T}_{H}}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\theta$ for $i \neq j$.

$\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}, A, B\right)$ is a $\sum$-animal with $a$ horns and $b$ hairs of strength $(\theta, \sigma)$ in $(G, \mathcal{T})$ if:
- $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is a $\Sigma$-span in $(G, \mathcal{T})$ of order $\theta$
- $A$ is a set of a s-horns.
- $B$ is a set of $b A$-avoiding hairs, pairwise at distance $\theta$ from one another.



## Theorem

$F$ drawn in $\Sigma,(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a $\Sigma$-span of sufficiently large order $\Rightarrow F \preceq G$.

## Lemma (Horn Lemma)

If $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a sufficiently strong $\Sigma$-animal with $\binom{m}{2}$ horns, then $K_{m} \preceq G$.

## Lemma (Hairs-to-horn Lemma)

If $(G, \mathcal{T})$ contains a sufficiently strong $\Sigma$-animal with a horns and sufficiently many hairs, then either $K_{m} \preceq G$ or $(G, \mathcal{T})$ also contains a $\sum$-animal with $a+1$ horns of strength $(\theta, s)$.

- Large treewidth $\Rightarrow$ large wall $=$ strong sphere-animal with no horns or hairs.
- Repeatedly, unless $F \preceq G$ or the decomposition is found:
- find a slightly weaker animal in higher-genus surface, or
- find a slightly weaker animal with one more hair.
- Genus at least the genus of $F: F \preceq G$.
- Many hairs accumulate: Hairs-to-horn Lemma gives $F \preceq G$ or one more horn.
- Many horns accumulate: Horn Lemma gives $F \preceq G$.
- No hair can be added $\Rightarrow$ all long jumps start and end near elements of $B$.
- Many disjoint long jumps $\Rightarrow$ ( $\Sigma+$ handle)- or ( $\Sigma+$ crosshandle)-span of large order.
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- Otherwise, all can be interrupted by a small set $Z \supseteq A$ (Menger).
- In $G-Z,\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is flat: no long jumps.
( $H^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}$ ) is a rearrangement of $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ within $\lambda$ of $v \in V(H)$ if
- atoms of $H-H^{\prime}$ are at distance at most $\lambda$ from $v$,
- distances according to $\mathcal{T}_{H^{\prime}}$ are by at most $(4 \lambda+2)$ smaller.

- Long jump after a local rearrangement $\Rightarrow$ one more hair.

- We can assume $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is flat in $G-Z$ even after a local rearrangement.

Face $f$ is an eye in $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ if

- $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$ in the boundary of $H$ in order, $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ free in $\mathcal{T}_{H}$,
- disjoint $H$-paths from $x_{1}$ to $x_{3}$ and $x_{2}$ to $x_{4}$.



## Lemma (Cross Lemma)

$\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ is flat and contains $m^{4}$ pairwise distant eyes $\Rightarrow K_{m} \preceq G$.

- Locally rearrange $\left(H, \mathcal{T}_{H}\right)$ to obtain maximum number of distant eyes $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}, k<m^{4}$.
- Far from the eyes: Impossible to rearrange non-planarly $\Rightarrow$ segregation into cells with arrangement in $\Sigma$.


Around each eye:

- No large crooked transaction: p-vortex + rural neighborhood.
- Crosscap transaction $\rightarrow(\Sigma+$ crosscap)-span.
- Jump transaction $\rightarrow$ non-flat after rearrangement.
- Double-cross transaction $\rightarrow$ more distant eyes.


